CONTENTS

EDITORIAL FOREWORD KENNETH A. BRYSON	ix
INTRODUCTION ROBERT ARP	1
THE FIRST PROOF	
One: A Motion to Reconsider: A Defense of Aquinas' Prime Mover Argument HEATHER THORNTON MCRAE AND JAMES MCRAE	29
Two: The Prime Mover Removed: A Contemporary Critique of Aquinas' Prime Mover Argument RICHARD GEENEN AND ROGER HUNT	47
Three: A Response to Geenen and Hunt HEATHER THORNTON MCRAE AND JAMES MCRAE	61
Four: A Response to McRae and McRae RICHARD GEENEN AND ROGER HUNT	65
THE SECOND PROOF	
Five: The Relevance of Aquinas' Uncaused Cause Argument GAVEN KERR, OP	71
Six: The Irrelevance of Aquinas' Uncaused Cause Argument HERBERT ROSEMAN	87
Seven: A Response to Roseman GAVEN KERR, OP	107
Eight: A Response to Kerr HERBERT ROSEMAN	111
THE THIRD PROOF	
Nine: From Contingency to Necessary Being	123

REVISITING AQUINAS' PROOFS

viii

	Ten: Problems with Aquinas' Third Way EDWARD MOAD	131	
	Eleven: A Response to Moad ADAM BARKMAN	141	
	Twelve: A Response to Barkman EDWARD MOAD	143	
THE FOURTH PROOF			
	Thirteen: A Fourth Way to Prove God's Existence DAVID BECK	147	
	Fourteen: Not So Superlative: The Fourth Way as Comparatively Problematic BENJAMIN W. McCRAW	173	
	Fifteen: A Response to McCraw EDWARD N. MARTIN	203	
	Sixteen: A Response to Beck BENJAMIN W. MCCRAW	229	
THE FIFTH PROOF			
	Seventeen: Aquinas' Fifth Way and the Possibility of Science MICHAEL HAYES	215	
	Eighteen: Science and Nature without God KEVIN S. DECKER	227	
	Nineteen: A Response to Decker MICHAEL HAYES	237	
	Twenty: A Response to Hayes KEVIN S. DECKER	241	
WORKS CITED		245	
ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS		265	
INDEX		269	